November 6, 2015

Dr. Kristina Thayer
Director, Office of Health Assessment and Translation
National Toxicology Program
Email: thayer@niehs.nih.gov

Dear Dr. Thayer,

On behalf of the Fluoride Action Network (FAN), I am writing today in response to the National Toxicology Program's (NTP) nomination of fluoride as a substance to be reviewed for non-cancer health outcomes, specifically developmental neurotoxicity and endocrine disruption. In its October 7, 2015 notice in the Federal Register, the NTP requested information on four subject areas relative to fluoride's nomination. We have provided detailed information on each of these four areas below.

NTP's nomination of fluoride for study of developmental neurotoxicity and endocrine disruption is both warranted and timely. The National Research Council (NRC) has identified fluoride as an "endocrine disrupter" (NRC 2006), and a large body of published scientific research (discussed below) shows that fluoride can damage the developing brain at worryingly modest levels of exposure. Reflecting the current state of knowledge, a 2014 review published in *The Lancet* by Drs. Philippe Grandjean and Philip Landrigan concludes that fluoride is one of only 11 chemicals that can currently be classified as a "known developmental neurotoxin" in humans. (Grandjean & Landrigan 2014).

(1) DATA ON CURRENT PRODUCTION, USE PATTERNS, AND HUMAN EXPOSURE

It is widely recognized that fluoride exposure has increased considerably over the past 70 years. This increase in exposure is reflected by the rising rates of dental fluorosis in U.S. children.

Whereas the incidence of dental fluorosis, in its mildest forms, was approximately 10% in the 1940s (NRC 1951); the CDC's 1999-2004 NHANES survey found that 41% of American adolescents (12-15 year olds) had the condition. (Beltrán-Aguilar 2010).

The rates of fluorosis have continued to rise since the early 2000s, as evident by the CDC's 2011-2012 NHANES survey, which found that 57% of adolescents now have the condition, with a staggering 21% of adolescents displaying *moderate* fluorosis on at least two teeth, up from 2% in 1999-2004. *Severe* fluorosis has also increased, from <1% to 2%.

The data for CDC's 2011-2012 NHANES survey, which is summarized in Figure 1 below, can be accessed online at: http://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/search/nhanes11_12.aspx.

Figure 1. NHANES 2011-2012, Distribution of Dean's Index Dental Fluorosis scores, unweighted. (Fluorosis score based on 2nd most affected tooth)

Overview of Sources:

A comprehensive overview of current sources of fluoride exposure in the United States, and accompanying estimates of daily fluoride intakes, can be found in Chapter 2 (pages 23 to 88) of the NRC's 2006 report Fluoride in Drinking Water: A Scientific Review of EPA's Standards.

Toothpaste:

While the NRC report provides a good overview of most fluoride sources, the NRC's estimate of daily exposures from toothpaste significantly understates fluoride exposure from this important source. The NRC estimated "typical" daily intakes of just 0.1 to 0.3 mg/day per day in children from *two brushings* with fluoride toothpaste (NRC 2006, Table 2-7), yet the average intakes reported in the scientific literature *greatly exceed* these estimates. Stephen Levy from the University of Iowa, for example, has estimated that children ingest an average of 0.3 grams of toothpaste per brushing, which equates to 0.3 – 0.45 mg of fluoride per brushing and thus *0.6 to 0.9 mg of fluoride per day* for children who brush twice. (Levy 1993). As Levy has stated, "Virtually all authors have noted that some children could ingest more fluoride from [toothpaste] alone than is recommended as a total daily fluoride ingestion." (Levy 1999).

For more recent data on fluoride intake from toothpaste, which further emphasizes the notably large quantities that many children now consume, we strongly encourage NTP to review the recent work by Zohoori, as it provides the most methodologically sound analysis of fluoride intake from toothpaste yet conducted in the open literature. *See* Zohoori (2012); Zohoori (2013).

Fluoride Supplements

Fluoride supplements (i.e., pills and/or lozenges prescribed to replicate exposure to fluoridated water for children living in nonfluoridated areas) remain a significant source of daily fluoride intake. Although fluoride supplements were only supposed to be prescribed in areas without fluoridated water, recent research has found that some dentists are prescribing these supplements to children irrespective of the fluoride content of their water. (Narendran 2006).

Tea

Tea plants readily absorb fluoride from soil. As a result, tea drinks invariably contain high levels of fluoride.

According to data from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, brewed black tea in the United States averages about 3 to 4 parts ppm fluoride. (USDA 2005a). Other published literature shows that commercial iced tea drinks typically contain between 1 and 4 ppm. (Whyte 2006).

Based on the high levels of fluoride in current tea products, a series of case reports over the past 10 years have identified cases of skeletal fluorosis among heavy-tea drinkers in the U.S. For a summary of these case reports, see: http://www.fluoridealert.org/studies/tea03/

While it is known that fluoride exposure from tea consumption can cause crippling bone disease, there has yet to be any research into the neurological and endocrine effects of these exposures, including the effect on children who are born to mothers who consumed heavy quantities of tea during pregnancy. Pathology studies from China have demonstrated that heavy prenatal fluoride exposures damage the fetal brain. See: http://www.fluoridealert.org/studies/brain05/. Studies are needed, therefore, to assess the neurological health of offspring born to heavy tea drinkers.[1]

Pharmaceuticals & Anesthetics

Many pharmaceuticals are currently made with organofluorine compounds. Some of these orgnanofluorine-based pharmaceuticals metabolize into inorganic fluoride ion, as reflected by elevated inorganic fluoride levels in blood and/or urine. The organofluorine pharmaceuticals that have thus far[2] been reported to metabolize into fluoride ion include: Cipro (Pradham 1995), the fluorinated anesthetics Isoflurane and Sevoflurane (e.g., Hoemberg 2012 & Oc 2012); Flecainide (Rimoli 1991); niflumic acid (Gras-Champel 2003; Welsch 1990; Meunier 1980; Prost 1978); and Voriconazole (e.g., Wermers 2011).

Of particular concern vis-à-vis developmental neurotoxicity is the release of fluoride from fluorinated anesthetics (Isoflurane & Sevoflurane) during infancy and early childhood. Animal and human studies have found that use of fluorinated anesthetics is linked to neurological harm, including impaired memory and other cognitive deficits. (See, e.g., Chung 2015; Liu J 2015; Liu X 2015; Qiu 2015; Sato 2015). Thus far, this research has failed to consider the possible role of the fluoride ion in producing these neurotoxic sequelae. The role of fluoride in producing these outcomes warrants urgent consideration.

Fluoride Pesticides: Cryolite and Sulfuryl Fluoride

Fluoride chemicals (i.e., cryolite and sulfuryl fluoride) continue to be used as pesticidal agents in the United States.

Cyrolite is principally used as an insecticide on vineyards, which results in measurable fluoride contamination of wine, grape juice, and raisins. According to data from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the average fluoride content of white grape juice is **2.13 ppm**, the average fluoride content of white wine is **2.02 ppm**, and the average fluoride content of red wine is **1.05** ppm. (USDA 2005b).

---

[1] One method for doing so would be the Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale (NBAS), which has already been used to investigate fluoride neurotoxicity in China (Li 2004).

[2] It is very likely that this list is incomplete as the potential for fluoride ion release from organofluorine pharmaceuticals has never been comprehensively studied.

Sulfuryl fluoride[3] is currently being widely used in the United States as a post-harvest fumigant. While most countries have banned the use of sulfuryl fluoride in food storing facilities, the United States now permits this use. More disturbingly, the United States permits sulfuryl fluoride to be directly applied to certain foodstuffs. EPA has estimated that 100% of (non-organic) cocoa powder is fumigated with sulfuryl fluoride, 100% of (non-organic) dried beans, 99% of (non-organic) walnuts, 69% of (non-organic) dried fruits, 10% of (non-organic) tree nuts, 10% of almonds, and 3% of milled rice. Field tests performed by Dow AgroSciences show that the average residual fluoride contamination from the direct fumigation of these products ranges from 1 to 12.5 ppm. See: http://fluoridealert.org/content/sf_exposure/

For a complete list of the fluoride residue limits that EPA permits on foods as a result of sulfuryl fluoride use, see: http://fluoridealert.org/content/fluoride-tolerances/

Fluoride Pollution

Hydrogen fluoride is one of the primary air emissions of the coal industry. According to the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), U.S. power plants emitted 67 million pounds of hydrogen fluoride from 2010 to 2014. In total, U.S. industries emitted 104 million pounds of hydrogen fluoride during these years. See: http://fluoridealert.org/content/tri-rank-by-industry-for-hf-1994-2014/

(2) PUBLISHED, ONGOING, OR PLANNED STUDIES RELATED TO EVALUATING ADVERSE HEALTH OUTCOMES

The Fluoride Action Network (FAN) has created a uniquely comprehensive database ("Study Tracker") for published research on fluoride toxicity, including foreign studies that FAN has translated into English. This database—which can be sorted by health effect (e.g., brain, thyroid, etc), type of study (e.g., animal, cell, human, review), and year of publication—is available online at: http://www.fluoridealert.org/studytracker/ .

We encourage NTP to utilize this database in order to obtain a complete picture of the available research on fluoride's developmental neurotoxicity and endocrine effects. FAN has full-text papers for the vast majority of studies cited in this database and we are happy to provide electronic copies of these papers if asked.

---

[3] Consistent with fluoride's neurotoxicity, animal experiments performed by Dow AgroSciences found that sulfuryl fluoride caused several serious and rare effects on the brain, including effects on the white matter; vacuolation of the brain, and liquefactive necrosis. As of 2006, sulfuryl fluoride was the only pesticide with food tolerances in the EPA's OPP data base that produced liquefactive necrosis. For more information on these brain effects see pages 77-79 at http://www.fluoridealert.org/sf-nov.2006.pdf

Developmental Neurotoxicity

FAN has identified 314 studies that have investigated fluoride's effects on the brain and nervous system. This includes 181 animal studies, which can be accessed at: http://tinyurl.com/pes5gq7; 112 human studies, which can be accessed at: http://tinyurl.com/ndqyhtc; and 21 cell studies, which can be accessed at: http://tinyurl.com/osvqtjs. The majority of these studies were published subsequent to the NRC's 2006 review[4], including 124 of the 181 animal studies, 63 of the 112 human studies, and 12 of the 21 cell studies.

Of the 314 studies relating to fluoride's effects on the brain, 121 address fluoride's effects on cognitive function, including 76 human studies and 45 animal studies. The 76 human studies addressing fluoride's cognitive effects can be accessed at: http://tinyurl.com/ph8s6ar, while the 45 animal studies addressing fluoride's cognitive effects can be accessed at: http://tinyurl.com/qbc7jnn.

The fluoride/cognition studies include 56 human studies that have examined the relationship between fluoride and intelligence quotient (IQ). Of these 56 studies, 49 have found an association between fluoride exposure and reduced IQ. http://fluoridealert.org/studies/brain01/

Many of the IQ studies have involved only modestly elevated levels of fluoride, with 23 studies finding reductions in IQ among children consuming water with fluoride levels at or below EPA's current Maximum Contaminant Level of 4 ppm. *See* Lin (1991), finding effect at **0.88 mg/L**; Sudhir (2009), finding effect at **0.7-1.2 ppm**; Zhang (2015), finding effect at **1.4 ppm**; Xu (1994), finding effect at **1.8 ppm**; Xiang (2003a,b), finding effect at **1.9 ppm**; Ding (2011), finding effect at **0.3-3.0 ppm**; Yao (1996), finding effect at **2.0 ppm**; Yao (1997), finding effect at **2 ppm**; An (1992), finding effect at **2.1-3.2 ppm;** Qin (1990), finding effect at **2.1-4 ppm**; Choi (2014), finding effect at **2.2 ppm**; Trivedi (2012), finding effect **at 2.3 ppm**; Poureslami (2011), finding effect at **2.38 ppm**; Nagarajappa (2013), finding effect at **2.4-3.5 ppm**; Eswar (2011), finding effect at **2.45 ppm**; Seraj (2006), finding effect at **2.5 ppm**; Shivaprakash (2011), finding effect at **2.5-3.5 ppm**; Hong (2001), finding effect at **2.85 ppm**; Wang (2001), finding effect at **2.97 ppm**; Yang (1994), finding effect at **2.97 ppm**; Seraj (2012), finding effect at **3.1 ppm**; Lu (2000), finding effect **at 3.15 ppm**; Karimzade (2014), finding effect **at 3.94 ppm**; and Zhao (1996), finding effect **at 4.12 ppm.**

The fluoride/cognition studies also include 36 animal studies that have examined fluoride's impact on learning and/or memory. Under controlled laboratory conditions, 34 of these 36 studies have confirmed fluoride's ability to impair learning and/or memory. http://fluoridealert.org/studies/brain02/

---

[4] This includes Chinese studies that had been published in Chinese-language journals prior to 2006 which were subsequently translated into English.

In addition to these published studies, FAN is aware of the following studies which remain ongoing:

- Dr. Philippe Grandjean from Harvard School of Public Health is leading an ongoing study of fluoride and intelligence among a group of schoolchildren in China. Grandjean published the preliminary results of this study in the January-February 2015 issue of *Neurotoxicology & Teratology*. (Choi 2015).
- Dr. Jaqueline Calderón Hernandez, from Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí in Mexico is currently working with Dr. Diana Rocha-Amador on the following three studies of fluoride neurotoxicity: (1) an examination of the cognitive effects from fluoride in drinking water, (2) estimating the global burden of disease of mild mental retardation associated with environmental fluoride exposure, and (3) investigating the impact of in utero exposure to fluoride (via drinking water) on cognitive development delay in children.
- An NIEHS-funded human epidemiological study titled "Prenatal and Childhood Exposure to Fluoride and Neurodevelopment," is investigating the relationship between fluoride and IQ among a cohort of children in Mexico. A summary of the study is available at: http://tinyurl.com/p8sunu9
- An NIEHS-funded animal study, titled "Effects of Fluoride on Behavior in Genetically Diverse Mouse Models," is investigating fluoride's effects on behavior and whether these effects differ based on the genetic strain of the mouse. The principal investigator of the study is Pamela Den Besten. A summary of her study is available online at: http://tinyurl.com/pt788by

Endocrine Disruption

FAN has identified 97 studies that have investigated fluoride's effects on the thyroid gland. This includes 50 human studies, which can be accessed at: http://tinyurl.com/o44u4xn; 34 animal studies, which can be accessed at http://tinyurl.com/oa42s6f; and 4 cell studies, which can be accessed at http://tinyurl.com/oeet48s.

FAN is also aware of an ongoing study in Mexico, led by Dr. Diana Rocha-Amador, that is examining the impact of fluoride on thyroid hormone levels in pregnant women. The preliminary results of this study were presented earlier this year at the 27th Conference of the International Society for Environmental Epidemiology (ISEE). An abstract of the results can be accessed at: http://tinyurl.com/ncltccc

In addition to fluoride's impacts on the thyroid gland, any consideration of fluoride's endocrine effects should consider fluoride's accumulation in—and effect on—the pineal gland, including the hormone melatonin. (Luke 1997, 2001). The seminal work on fluoride's effect on the pineal gland and melatonin was performed by Dr. Jennifer Luke. FAN encourages the NTP to review Dr.

Luke's PhD dissertation on fluoride, which can be accessed in full at
http://fluoridealert.org/studytracker/17954/

Additionally, FAN would like to draw NTP's attention to an ongoing NIH-funded study that is investigating the impact of fluoride on the timing of puberty among children in Mexico. This study is pertinent to the assessment of fluoride's impact on the pineal gland's regulation of melatonin. The preliminary results of the study were presented at the 2014 ISEE conference and can be accessed online at: http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/isee/p1-028/

(3) SCIENTIFIC ISSUES IMPORTANT FOR PRIORITIZING AND ASSESSING ADVERSE HEALTH OUTCOMES

The following issues will be important to consider when prioritizing and assessing fluoride's developmental neurotoxicity and endocrine effects:

A. While research on fluoride's effect on intelligence is clearly a high research priority, it is important to recognize that neurotoxins can cause neurotoxic harm in the absence of demonstrable IQ loss. As noted by Rocha-Amador (2009):

> "Intuitively, though it might seem that an IQ test would be an ideal measure [for determining the neurotoxic effects of a chemical], this assumption would be ill founded, because some toxicants could affect only specific functions, such as attention, memory, language, or visuospatial abilities without clear decrements on IQ scores. Furthermore, the exposure dose as well as mixtures of toxicants are important factors that also need to be considered."

Rocha-Amador has proposed the use of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure (ROCF) Test as an alternative way of assessing the impact of neurotoxins. According to Rocha-Amador (2009):

> "[I]t is imperative to have a tool for rapid risk assessment to quantitatively measure health effects. In neuropsychology there are several tests that can be used for this purpose but many of them have issues including lack of validation and standardized values for the Mexican population, furthermore the influence of cultural factors also limits their usefulness. These issues could be solved in part by the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure (ROCF) Test. This test is one of the most widely used in neuropsychology for the evaluation of visuospatial constructional ability and non-verbal memory skills in both clinical and research settings."

Rocha-Amador used the ROCF Test to assess fluoride's neurotoxicity and found that fluoride exposure correlated with poor performance. (Rocha-Amador 2009).

B. Research on other neurotoxins, such as methylmercury, demonstrates that a chemical's neurotoxicity can be greatly affected by individual genetic factors.

(Julvez & Grandjean 2013). Indeed, it has been estimated that some individuals may be "at least 25-fold more susceptible" to the neurotoxic effects of methylmercury. (Julvez & Grandjean 2013). It is believed that fluoride's effects on human health is influenced by genetics (Mousny 2006), but there remains little published research on the genetic factors that may influence fluoride neurotoxicity. The one human study that has been published appears to confirm a significant genetic influence on fluoride's neurotoxic effects. (Zhang 2015). Until the genetic influences are understood, epidemiological studies in western populations may not be properly equipped to detect fluoride's neurological effects on susceptible individuals. This is an important limitation to consider when interpreting epidemiological studies.

C. Due to increased exposures to non-water sources of fluoride (e.g., toothpaste), there exists broad overlap in fluoride exposures between communities with and without water fluoridation programs. As a result, any epidemiological study of fluoride and neurological/endocrine health in western populations that does not carefully control for individual fluoride exposure (e.g., urine fluoride levels) is likely to obscure the effect of interest.[5] Further, since an individual's exposure to fluoride can fluctuate widely over time, the metric of individual exposure should be obtained during the period of time at which the effect in question (e.g., reduced IQ) is believed to occur. Failure to ascertain individualized metrics of fluoride exposure during the relevant developmental period will make it harder to detect fluoride's neurotoxic/endocrine effects.

D. In assessing the relevance of animal studies (the vast majority of which have been conducted on rats and mice), it is important to recognize that rodents are far more resistant to fluoride than humans. With respect to dental fluorosis, for example, studies have repeatedly found that it takes at least 10 to 25 ppm fluoride in water to produce even "minimal" enamel disturbances in rat enamel, whereas it takes less than 1 ppm to do so in humans. (Angmar-Månsson & Whitford 1982). The difference in sensitivity may be explained, *in part*, by the fact that rats require 5 to 10 times more fluoride in water to achieve the same level of fluoride in the blood. *See* Den Besten (1993); Dunipace (1995); NRC (2006, p. 442); Sawan (2010). Other reasons for the difference in sensitivity may include the fact that "calcium intake in rats, adjusted for body size, is an order of magnitude greater than in humans" (Turner 1992), and, unlike humans, rats synthesize their own vitamin C (Asard 2004), an anti-oxidant that has been found to mitigate fluoride toxicity (e.g., Marier & Rose 1977; Pandit 1940).

E. It has been demonstrated that fluoride's toxicity is aggravated by inadequate nutrition. See: http://www.fluoridealert.org/studies/nutrition/. Notably, both animal

---

[5] This is one of the problems with the recent epidemiological study by Broadbent, et al. (2015), as explained in a soon-to-be-published letter in the *American Journal of Public Health*. FAN has provided OHAT with a copy of this letter in a separate communication.

and human studies have specifically found that fluoride's effects on the thyroid gland are significantly pronounced in the presence of an iodine deficiency. See: http://www.fluoridealert.org/studies/thyroid01/. Accordingly, epidemiological studies of fluoride's neurotoxic and endocrine effects that do not include populations with suboptimal nutrient intakes will fail to detect the full magnitude of fluoride's neurotoxic/endocrine effects.

F. Studies of fluoride's developmental neurotoxicity should consider the possible mediating influence of fluoride's effect on thyroid hormone levels. Studies from China have repeatedly found alterations in thyroid hormone levels among fluoride-exposed communities. See: http://fluoridealert.org/studies/thyroid03/. Since relatively minor alterations in thyroid hormone levels during pregnancy can reduce the IQ of the offspring (Klein 2001; Haddow 1999), research on fluoride's developmental neurotoxicity should consider fluoride's effects on the thyroid as one of the possible mechanisms. As noted above, Dr. Rocha Amador from Mexico is currently investigating this issue. See: http://tinyurl.com/ncltccc

(4) NAMES OF SCIENTISTS WITH EXPERTISE OR KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE

For privacy purposes, I have provided the names and contact information of scientists with relevant expertise under separate cover.

If there is *any* further information that FAN can provide that will assist NTP with its review—including providing electronic copies of papers cited in FAN's extensive research database—please do not hesitate to let us know.

Sincerely,

Michael Connett, Esq.
Executive Director
Fluoride Action Network
michael@fluoridealert.org
Tel: 802-355-0999

REFERENCES

An J, et al. (1992). The effects of high fluoride on the level of intelligence of primary and secondary students. *Chinese Journal of Control of Endemic Diseases* 7(2):93-94.

Angmar-Månsson B, Whitford GM. (1982). Plasma fluoride levels and enamel fluorosis in the rat. Caries Res. 1982;16(4):334-9.

Asard H, et al. (2004). Vitamin C: Function and Biochemistry in Animals and Plants. BIOS Scientific Publishers, Taylor & Francis Group, London & New York, p. 208.

Beltrán-Aguilar ED, et al. (2010). Prevalence and Severity of Dental Fluorosis in the United States, 1999–2004. Centers for Disease Control. NCHS Data Brief No. 53. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db53.htm

Choi A, et al. (2015). Association of lifetime exposure to fluoride and cognitive functions in Chinese children: A pilot study. *Neurotoxicology & Teratology* 47:96-101.

Chung W, et al. (2015). Sevoflurane exposure during the neonatal period induces long-term memory impairment but not autism-like behaviors. Paediatr Anaesth. 25(10):1033-45.

Ding Y, et al. (2011). The relationships between low levels of urine fluoride on children's intelligence, dental fluorosis in endemic fluorosis areas in Hulunbuir, Inner Mongolia, China. Journal of Hazardous Materials 186(2-3):1942-46.

Dunipace AJ, et al. (1995). Effect of aging on animal response to chronic fluoride exposure. Journal of Dental Research 74(1):358-68.

Eswar P, et al. (2011). Intelligent quotients of 12-14 year old school children in a high and low fluoride village in India. Fluoride 44:168-72.

Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. (2014). Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity. Lancet Neurol 13(3):330-8.

Gras-Champel V, et al. (2003). [Chronic fluorine intoxication during prolonged treatment with niflumic acid]. [Article in French] Presse Med. 7;32(20):933.

Haddow JE, et al. (1999). Maternal thyroid deficiency during pregnancy and subsequent neuropsychological development of the child. New England Journal of Medicine 341(8):549-55.

Hoemberg M, et al. (2012). Plasma fluoride concentrations during prolonged administration of isoflurane to a pediatric patient requiring renal replacement therapy. Paediatric Anaesthia 22(4):412-3.

Hong F, et al. (2001). Research on the effects of fluoride on child intellectual development under different environments. Chinese Primary Health Care 15(3):56-57 (republished in Fluoride 2008; 41(2):156–60).

Julvez J, Grandjean P. (2013). Genetic susceptibility to methylmercury developmental neurotoxicity matters. Front Genet. 2013; Vol 4, Article 278.

Karimzade S, et al. (2014). Investigation of intelligence quotient in 9-12-year-old children exposed to high- and low-drinking water fluoride in West Azerbaijan province, Iran. Fluoride 47(1):9-14.

Klein RZ, et al. (2001). Relation of severity of maternal hypothyroidism to cognitive development of offspring. Journal of Medical Screening 8(1):18-20.

Levy SM. (1993). A review of fluoride intake from fluoride dentrifice. Journal of Dentistry for Children March-April, pp. 115-124.

Levy SM, Guha-Chowdhury N. (1999). Total fluoride intake and implications for dietary fluoride supplementation. Journal of Public Health Dentistry 59: 211-23. http://fluoridealert.org/content/toothpaste-exposure/

Li J, Yao L, Shao Q-L. (2004). Effects of high-fluoride on neonatal neurobehavioural development. Chinese Journal of Endemiology 23:464-465. (Republished in Fluoride 2008; 41:165-70).

Lin Fa-Fu; et al (1991). The relationship of a low-iodine and high-fluoride environment to subclinical cretinism in Xinjiang. Endemic Disease Bulletin 6(2):62-67 (republished in Iodine Deficiency Disorder Newsletter Vol. 7(3):24-25).

Liu J, et al. (2015). Effects of Sevoflurane on Young Male Adult C57BL/6 Mice Spatial Cognition. PLoS One. 2015 Aug 18;10(8):e0134217.

Liu X, et al. (2015). Effects of calpain on sevoflurane-induced aged rats hippocampal neuronal apoptosis. Aging Clin Exp Res. Oct 19. [Epub ahead of print]

Lu Y, et al (2000). Effect of high-fluoride water on intelligence of children. Fluoride 33:74-78.

Marier J, Rose D. 1977. Environmental Fluoride. National Research Council of Canada. Associate Committe on Scientific Criteria for Environmental Quality. NRCC No. 16081. Available at: http://fluoridealert.org/studies/nrcc-1977/

Meunier PJ, et al. (1980). Niflumic acid-induced skeletal fluorosis: iatrogenic disease or therapeutic perspective for osteoporosis? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 148:304-9.

Mousny M, et al. (2006). The genetic influence on bone susceptibility to fluoride. Bone 39(6):1283-9.

Mullenix P, et al. (1995). Neurotoxicity of Sodium Fluoride in Rats. Neurotoxicology and Teratology 17:169-177.

Nagarajappa R, et al. (2013). Comparative assessment of intelligence quotient among children living in high and low fluoride areas of Kutch, India: a pilot study. Iranian Journal of Public Health 2(8): 813–818.

Narendran SN, et al. (2006). Fluoride knowledge and prescription practices among dentists. Journal of Dental Education 70(9): 956-64.

National Research Council (2006). Fluoride in Drinking Water: A Scientific Review of EPA's Standards. Committee on Fluoride in Drinking Water.

National Research Council (1951). Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Fluoridation of Water Supplies. Page 6. Available online at: http://tinyurl.com/og3z84g

Prost A, et al. (1978). [Fluorine osteosis caused by a very long-term niflumic acid treatment in 2 cases of rheumatoid arthritis]. [Article in French] Rev Rhum Mal Osteoartic. 45(12):707-16.

Qin LS, Cui SY. (1990). Using the Raven's standard progressive matrices to determine the effects of the level of fluoride in drinking water on the intellectual ability of school-age children. Chinese Journal of the Control of Endemic Diseases 5(4):203-04 (republished in Fluoride 2008; 41:115–19).

Qiu L, et al. (2015). Acute and long-term effects of brief sevoflurane anesthesia during the early postnatal period in rats. Toxicol Sci. 2015 Sep 30. pii: kfv219. [Epub ahead of print]

Oc B, et al. (2012). The effects of sevoflurane anesthesia and cardiopulmonary bypass on renal function in cyanotic and acyanotic children undergoing cardiac surgery. Renal Failure 34(2):135-41.

Pandit CG, et al. (1940). Endemic fluorosis in South India. Indian Journal of Medical Research 28: 533-558.

Poureslami HR, et al. (2011). Intelligence quotient of 7 to 9 year-old children from an area with high fluoride in drinking water. Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene 3(4):61-64.

Pradhan KM, et al. (1995). Safety of ciprofloxacin therapy in children: magnetic resonance images, body fluid levels of fluoride and linear growth. Acta Paediatrica 84(5):555-60.

Rocha-Amador D, et al. (2009). Use of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Test for neurotoxicity evaluation of mixtures in children. Neurotoxicology 30(6):1149-54.

Rocha-Amador D, et al. (2007). Decreased intelligence in children and exposure to fluoride and arsenic in drinking water. Cadernos de Saude Publica 23(Suppl 4):S579-87.

Rimoli CN, et al. (1991). Relationship between serum concentrations of flecainide and fluoride in humans. Boll. Chim. Farmaceutico 130(7):279-82.

Sato C, et al. (2015) Postoperative Structural Brain Changes and Cognitive Dysfunction in Patients with Breast Cancer. PLoS One. 2015 Nov 4;10(11):e0140655.

Sawan RM, et al. (2010). Fluoride increases lead concentrations in whole blood and in calcified tissues from lead-exposed rats. Toxicology 271(1-2):21-6.

Seraj B, et al. (2012). Effect of high water fluoride concentration on the intellectual development of children in Makoo/Iran. Journal of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. 9(3): 221-29.

Seraj B, et al. (2006). [Effect of high fluoride concentration in drinking water on children's intelligence]. [Study in Persian] Journal of Dental Medicine 19(2):80-86

Shivaprakash PK, et al. (2011). Relation between dental fluorosis and intelligence quotient in school children of Bagalkot district. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 29(2):117-20.

Sudhir KM, et al. (2009). Effect of fluoride exposure on intelligence quotient (IQ) among 13-15 year old school children of known endemic area of fluorosis, Nalgonda District, Andhra Pradesh. Journal of the Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry 13:88-94.

Trivedi MH, et al. (2012). Assessment of groundwater quality with special reference to fluoride and its impact on IQ of schoolchildren in six villages of the Mundra Region, Kachchh, Gujurat, India. Fluoride 45(4):377-83.

Turner CH, et al. (1992). The effects of fluoridated water on bone strength. Journal of Orthopedic Research 10:581-7.

USDA. (2005a). The Fluoride Content of Brewed and Microwave Black Teas. Nutrient Data Laboratory, Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center, Agricultural Research Service. http://www.fluoridealert.org/uploads/USDA-2005.tea_.pdf

USDA (2005b). USDA National Fluoride Database of Selected Beverages and Foods, Release 2. https://data.nal.usda.gov/sites/default/files/F02.pdf

Wang X, et al. (2001). Effects of high iodine and high fluorine on children's intelligence and thyroid function. Chinese Journal of Endemiology 20(4):288-90.

Welsch M, et al. (1990). [Iatrogenic fluorosis. 2 cases]. [Article in French] Therapie. 45(5):419-22.

Wermers RA, et al. (2011). Fluoride excess and periostitis in transplant patients receiving long-term voriconazole therapy. Clinical Infectious Diseases 52(5):604-11.

Whyte MP. (2006). The fluoride levels in bottled teas. American Journal of Medicine 119:189-90.

Xiang Q, et al. (2003a). Effect of fluoride in drinking water on children's intelligence. Fluoride 36: 84-94.

Xiang Q, et al. (2003b). Blood lead of children in Wamiao-Xinhuai intelligence study. Fluoride 36: 198-199.

Xu Y, et al. (1994). The effect of fluorine on the level of intelligence in children. Endemic Diseases Bulletin 9(2):83-84.

Yang Y, et al. (1994). The effects of high levels of fluoride and iodine on intellectual ability and the metabolism of fluoride and iodine. Chinese Journal of Epidemiology 15(4):296-98 (republished in Fluoride 2008; 41:336-339).

Yao Y, et al. (1997). Comparative assessment of the physical and mental development of children in endemic fluorosis area with water improvement and without water improvement. Literature and Information on Preventive Medicine 3(1):42-43.

Yao Y, et al. (1996). Analysis on TSH and intelligence level of children with dental Fluorosis in a high fluoride area. Literature and Information on Preventive Medicine 2(1):26-27.

Zhang S, et al. (2015). Modifying Effect of COMT Gene Polymorphism and a Predictive Role for Proteomics Analysis in Children's Intelligence in Endemic Fluorosis Area in Tianjin, China. Toxicological Sciences 144(2):238-45.

Zhao LB, et al. (1996). Effect of high-fluoride water supply on children's intelligence. Fluoride 29: 190-192.

Zohoori FV, et al. (2012). Fluoridated toothpaste: usage and ingestion of fluoride by 4- to 6-yr-old children in England. Eur J Oral Sci. 2012 Oct;120(5):415-21.

Zohoori FV, et al. (2013). Total fluoride intake and excretion in children up to 4 years of age living in fluoridated and non-fluoridated areas. Eur J Oral Sci. 121(5):457-64.